



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/417,739	10/14/1999	JEROME D. BOSS	MSFT-0097/14	7856	
7	590 10/20/2004	EXAMINER			
PETER M ULLMAN ESQUIRE WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ			JACOBS, LASHONDA T		
	Z & NORRIS LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Y PLACE 46TH FLOOR	2157	2157		
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					Λ /		
.		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	W/		
Office Action Summary		09/417,73	39	BOSS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		LaShonda		2157			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 36(a). In no every within the state will apply and with cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) o ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ulv 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			-			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-9,16 and 37-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-9,16 and 37-43 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from co	nsideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		objected to by the	e Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	: 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. No	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO	<i>⊦</i> -152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have bee s have bee rity docume u (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applica ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	tage		
Attachmer	at(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	V	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Ral Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Art Unit: 2157

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicants' amendment filed on July 21, 2004. Claims 4 and 15 have been cancelled. Claims 7-9, 16, and 37-43 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-9, 16 and 37-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant in view of Zilberstein et al (hereinafter, "Zilberstein", 6,606,657).

As per claim 7, Bryant discloses:

- coupling to a client object by the way of a proxy server (monitor) interface of said client object (see abstract, col. 3, lines 59-61 and col. 10, lines 15-16);
- receiving a first client request destined for said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);
- recording selected information indicative of said first client request (see Fig. 2, col. 2, lines 8-12, and col. 3, lines 62-66);

Art Unit: 2157

 transmitting said first client request onto said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);

- receiving a response to said first client request from said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);
- transmitting said response to said client object (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56).

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

- receiving a second client request destined for said network;
- transmitting said second client request onto said network; and
- recording selected information indicative of said second client request, whereby
 recorded information is created, wherein the recorded information indicative of said
 second client request is a function of said response.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

- receiving a second client request destined for said network (col. 8, lines 35-45 and col.
 9, lines 35-40; Zilberstein discloses a new URL (second request) being accessed by a client by a link in the viewed web page);
- transmitting said second client request onto said network (col. 8, lines 35-45 and col. 9, lines 35-40); and
- recording selected information indicative of said second client request, whereby
 recorded information is created, wherein the recorded information indicative of said
 second client request is a function of said response (col. 2, lines 59-63, col. 8, lines 35-

45, col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-24, lines 35-40 and lines54-59; Zilberstein discloses a new URL (second request) being accessed by a client selecting a link in the viewed web page. Therefore, Zilberstein discloses recording selected information indicative of said client request, whereby recorded information is created, wherein the recorded information indicative of said second client request is a function of the said response).

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by including a URL timer to determine the amount of time a user spends at each web page in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 16, Bryant discloses:

- a first interface connectible to a client object, whereby said interface receives requests destined for said network originating from said client object (at least implicitly) (col. 3, lines 5-8 and lines 49-61);
- a recorder object in communication with said first object for receiving said requests by way of said first interface (col. 4, lines 66-67 and col. 5, lines 1-6), and said recorder object creating a record comprising a representation of said requests (see Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 4, lines 1-3, and col. 5, lines 3-6); and
- a second interface connectible to said network (at least implicitly) (col. 2, lines 66-67, col. 3, lines 1-5, lines 14-26), said second interface being in communication with said recorder object wherein said recorder object transmits said request to said network by way of said second interface (col. 4, lines 49-56); and
- wherein said second interface receives responses destined for said client object
 originating from said network, wherein said recorder object is in communication with

Art Unit: 2157

Page 5

said second interface for receiving said responses by the way said second interface, wherein said first interface is in communication with said recorder object whereby said recorder object transmits said responses to said client object by the way of said first interface, (col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 4, lines 1-3, lines 50-67 and col. 5, lines 1-6).

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

• wherein at some of the representation of said requests is a function of said responses.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

• wherein at some of the representation of said requests is a function of said responses (col. 2, lines 59-63, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-24, lines 35-40 and lines 54-59; Zilberstein discloses a new URL (second request) being accessed by a client selecting a link in the viewed web page. Therefore, Zilberstein discloses wherein at some of the representation of said requests is a function of said responses).

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by specifying the new URL accessed by a client selecting a link in the viewed web page as a function of the first request in order to record the time the client spent viewing the web pages in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claims 8 and 37, Bryant discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

Art Unit: 2157

wherein at least one of said responses is a web page including a plurality of hyperlinks,
 and wherein said function takes into account the relative location of one said hyperlinks
 on said web page.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

• wherein at least one of said responses is a web page including a plurality of hyperlinks, and wherein said function takes into account the relative location of one said hyperlinks on said web page (col. 2, lines 59-63, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-24, lines 35-40 and lines54-59; Zilberstein discloses a new URL (second request) being accessed by a client selecting a link in the viewed web page. Therefore, Zilberstein discloses wherein at some of the representation of said requests is a function of said responses).

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by specifying the new URL accessed by a client selecting a link in the viewed web page as a function of the first request in order to record the time the client spent viewing the web pages in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 9, Bryant discloses:

- coupling to a client object by the way of a proxy server (monitor) interface of said client object (see abstract, col. 3, lines 59-61 and col. 10, lines 15-16);
- receiving a first client request destined for said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);

Art Unit: 2157

- transmitting said first client request onto said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);
- receiving a second client request destined for said network (see Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 34-36, lines 62-67, and col. 4, lines 1-3); and
- transmitting said second client request onto said network (col. 3, lines 34-36, and col. 4, lines 49-56);

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

• recording the time between the first and second client requests.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

• recording the time between the first and second client requests (col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-20 and lines 41-44).

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by including a URL timer to determine the amount of time a user spends at each web page in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claims 15, 40, and 41 Bryant discloses:

• a computer-readable medium containing computer-executable instructions (col. 10, lines 64-67, and col. 11, lines 1-6).

As per claim 38, Bryant discloses:

• a first interface connectible to a client object, whereby said interface receives requests destined for said network originating from said client object (at least implicitly) (col. 3, lines 5-8 and lines 49-61);

• a recorder object in communication with said first object for receiving said requests by way of said first interface (col. 4, lines 66-67 and col. 5, lines 1-6), and said recorder object creating a record comprising a representation of said requests (see Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 4, lines 1-3, and col. 5, lines 3-6);

- a second interface connectible to said network (at least implicitly) (col. 2, lines 66-67, col. 3, lines 1-5, lines 14-26), said second interface being in communication with said recorder object wherein said recorder object transmits said request to said network by way of said second interface (col. 4, lines 50-56); and
- recorder object (col. 5, lines 52-67, and col. 6, lines 1-8).

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

calculates the time between a first of said requests and a second of said requests, and
 includes in said record a representation of the calculated time.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

• calculates the time between a first of said requests and a second of said requests, and includes in said record a representation of the calculated time (col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-20 and lines 41-44).

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by including a URL timer to determine the amount of time a user spends at each web page in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 39, Bryant discloses:

a replayer object which simulates a user network transaction by sending over said network the requests represented in said record including said first request and said second request (see abstract, col. 1, lines 48-55, lines 66-67, col. 2, lines 1-19, col. 4, lines 13-24, col. 5, lines 52-67, and col. 6, lines 1-8).

However, Bryant does not explicitly disclose:

 inserting a duration of time between said first request and said second request based on the representation of the calculated time contained in said record.

In a similar art, Zilberstein discloses a system and method for gathering and disseminating detailed information regarding website visitation including:

• inserting a duration of time between said first request and said second request based on the representation of the calculated time contained in said record (col. 9, lines 3-6, lines 17-20, lines 41-44, col. 12, lines 61-67 and col. 13, lines 1-4)

Given the teaching of Zilberstein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant by including a URL timer to determine the amount of time a user spends at each web page in a timely and efficient manner.

3. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant in view of Zilberstein and in further view of Bryant et al (6,078,956).

As per claim 42, Bryant in view of Zilberstein discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above

However, Bryant in view Zilberstein does not explicitly disclose

Art Unit: 2157

• wherein the recorded information indicative of said second client request is further a function of a cookie associated with said response.

In an analogous art, Bryant et al (6,078,956) discloses:

wherein the recorded information indicative of said second client request is further a
function of a cookie associated with said response (abstract and col. 2, lines 23-43;
 Bryant et al discloses sending response time information from a Web client to a Web
server in a cookie).

Given the teaching of Bryant et al (6,078,956), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant in view of Zilberstein by including a cookie within the monitor in order to obtain information associated with a response allowing the monitor to keep track and identify user activities on a web page.

As per claim 43, Bryant in view of Zilberstein discloses the invention substantially as claims discussed above.

However, Bryant in view of Zilberstein does not explicitly disclose

• wherein at least some of the representation said requests is further a function of one or more cookies associated with said responses.

In an analogous art, Bryant et al (6,078,956) discloses:

• wherein at least some of the representation said requests is further a function of one or more cookies associated with said responses (abstract and col. 2, lines 23-43; Bryant et al discloses sending response time information from a Web client to a Web server in a cookie).

Art Unit: 2157

Given the teaching of Bryant et al (6,078,956), it would have been obvious to one of

Page 11

ordinary skill in the art to modify Bryant in view of Zilberstein by including a cookie within the

monitor in order to obtain information associated with a response allowing the monitor to keep

track and identify user activities on a web page.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9, 16 and 37-43 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Office notes the following arguments:

a. Bryant does not teach information indicative of the second request is recorded such that the

"recorded information indicative of said second client request is a function of said response.

b. Bryant does not record responses as a function of requests, and in particular, does not record a

second request as a function of the response to a previous request.

c. Barrick does not teach recording or calculating the time between two requests.

In considering (a)-(c), Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are most in view of

the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2157

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157

ltj October 5, 2004

> MOUSTAFA M. MEKY PRIMARY EXAMINER